|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Field Monitoring Report**  *(Maximum two- three pages* ***plus*** *annexes)*   |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Project/Programme Monitored:** | Transitional Justice CSO involved   1. Sri Lanka Centre for Development Facilitation (SLCDF) for the “Re-inventing Values on Enhanced Reconciliation (RIVER) on TJ (Project ID: 103135; output: 105115) 2. Prathiba Media Network for “Making the grassroots level communities aware on Transitional Justice and the Reconciliation Process” – (Project ID: 93879; Output: 105820) | | **Key Objective(s) of Field Visit:** | 1. Physical validation and verification of the reported progress/results by SLCDF through beneficiary feedback. 2. Observe implementation of activities by Prathiba Media Network 3. Make recommendations to UNDP/CSO to improve monitoring, reporting and project management in general | | **Districts/Place visited:** | Kandy and Monaragala Districts:  Places Visited: Digana & Kundasale in Kandy; Buttala in Monaragala | | **Monitored by (Name/Position):** | Jothirajah Karunenthira, M&E Specialist  Upul Ranaweera, M&E Associate | | **Monitoring visit date:** | 28 Feb – 3 March 2019 | |  | | |
|  |

**FINDINGS ON RESULTS**

1. **Observations on Project’s progress toward CPAP/Project Outputs**

|  |
| --- |
| * Positive feedback of beneficiaries of SLCDF’s Training of Trainers on TJ verified that they have been adequately made aware and trained on TJ, and they are making use of the training they received for multiple effects. However, the public who participated to awareness programmes showed lack of knowledge or any effect of the programme. This situation was brought to the notice of SLCDF consortium member who was present and he promised the public to have another round of awareness sessions to make it more effective. * The purpose of the visit to Prathiba Media Network (PMN) was to observe the implementation of their activity “Public Forum” on Reconciliation through Conflict Transformation. Therefore, it is not realistic to report on the progress towards CPD or higher level outputs in this instance. However, the activities observed were part of the activities contributing to the output1 of the project, Informed discourse at grassroots level generated which increase the understanding of reconciliation. The activities of the day received positive feedback from the participants on short term impacts such as awareness on TJ, but the effect of the exercise can only be verified at the follow-up meeting scheduled by the CSO subsequently since TJ is a long-term objective. |

1. **Positive findings of Field Visit**

|  |
| --- |
| SLCDF:   * Government officers, CSO leaders, religious leaders and Kandy District Consortia members are aware of TJ process. According to trainees, * A strong network exists consisting of government officers, CSO leaders, religious leaders and members of the public around TJ which will be useful in preventing communal clashes in future. * SLCDF has diligently implemented activities according the Work Plan * UNDP’s financial support has substantially contributed SLCDF in the realization of their project interventions, particularly by drawing the attention of public to TJ by way of increased awareness on TJ, reconciliation and peacebuilding   PMN:   * Planning and arrangement of Public Forum on TJ and recording of two radio programmes were methodical and satisfactory. PMN has human resource capacity to organize the event well and punctual. * Employed an experienced and senior resource person who could capture the audience through out his session in the public forum discussion. |

1. **Major Issues that may require immediate action**

|  |
| --- |
| SLCDF:   * No major issues were observed during the meetings with consortium members of SLCDF. However, awareness programmes on TJ for public need more attention since the group of public met in the visit were not aware of TJ and said two ours of talk was not enough to learn TJ.   PMN:   * The lecture by the main resource person contained what could be seen as contentious and controversial views and ideas that could have been perceived as biased and disadvantageous to a particular community. Though there was no apparent threat to UNDP since the programme is depicted to public as entirely done by PMN, in case if get to know will have negative consequences to UN. * The participants from UNDP had to pretend that they were part of PMN on the instructions of the PMN. This seemed baseless and gives the impression that UNDP is doing something illegal and not ready to stand up for TJ. |

* 1. **FINDINGS: CROSS CUTTING ISSUES** 
     + 1. **Gender Equality, Women’s empowerment, social inclusion and environmental opportunities and impacts.**

|  |
| --- |
| * Both SLCDF and PMN have ensured the inclusion of women and gender balance in all their activities. Majority of SLCDF’s beneficiaries are women in District Consortia. In the case of PMN, the selection of participants for the public forum is done in a careful manner to ensure the participants select equal number of men and women as their representatives for the radio programmes. |

* + - 1. **Sustainability**

|  |
| --- |
| * n/a |

* + - 1. **Partnership**

|  |
| --- |
| * n/a |

* 1. **ACTION PLAN TO ADDRESS ISSUES**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Priority** | **Issue** | **Recommendation** | **Who** | **By When** |
| *List in order priority* | *What is the issue that needs to be addressed?* | *What action is recommended to directly address this issue?* | *Who is responsible to follow up on the issue?* | *When should the recommendation be implemented by?* |
| 1. Review the contents of the public forum to make it more unbiased and non-contentious | Contentious and controversial contents in the lecture by PMN | Review and agree on the contents | Project Manager | As early as possible, before the end of the present round of forums |
| 1. Proper selection of participants by PMN | Biased selection of participants by selecting only people who do not likely to oppose TJ | A dialogue on both sides of issues is important for long lasting solutions | CSO | From the design stage this should be included. |
| 1. Adequate public awareness on TJ | Public not aware of TJ | Organize public awareness programmes on TJ that can cater to informal mix group of people | SLCDF | Since the project has ended, SLCDF may conduct these awareness according to SLCDF’s timetable. |